Screening for transmembrane association in divisome proteins using TOXGREEN, a high-throughput variant of the TOXCAT assay
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ABSTRACT

TOXCAT is a widely used genetic assay to study interactions of transmembrane helices within the inner membrane of the bacterium Escherichia coli. TOXCAT is based on a fusion construct that links a transmembrane domain of interest with a cytoplasmic DNA-binding domain from the Vibrio cholerae ToxR protein. Interaction driven by the transmembrane domain results in dimerization of the ToxR domain, which, in turn, activates the expression of the reporter gene chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT). Quantification of CAT is used as a measure of the ability of the transmembrane domain to self-associate. Because the quantification of CAT is relatively laborious, we developed a high-throughput variant of the assay, TOXGREEN, based on the expression of super-folded GFP and detection of fluorescence directly in unprocessed cell cultures. Careful side-by-side comparison of TOXCAT and TOXGREEN demonstrates that the methods have comparable response, dynamic range, sensitivity and intrinsic variability both in LB and minimal media. The greatly enhanced workflow makes TOXGREEN much more scalable and ideal for screening, since hundreds of constructs can be rapidly assessed in 96 well plates. Even for small scale investigations, TOXGREEN significantly reduces time, labor and cost associated with the procedure. We demonstrate applicability with a large screening for self-association among the transmembrane domains of bitopic proteins of the divisome (FtsL, FtsB, FtsQ, FtsI, FtsN, ZipA and EzrA) belonging to 11 bacterial species. The analysis confirms a previously reported tendency for FtsB to self-associate, and suggests that the transmembrane domains of ZipA, EzrA and FtsN may also possibly oligomerize.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Among the helical membrane proteins, the class that spans the bilayer with a single transmembrane (TM) domain is the most prevalent, accounting for 20% or more of all membrane proteins in most organisms [1]. The TM domains of these “single-pass” or “bitopic” membrane proteins are sometimes referred as “membrane anchors”. However, it is becoming increasingly evident that these segments – which bridge the two universes across membrane – often play active roles in biological function [2]. These roles are generally established through the formation of oligomeric complexes, where modulation of association or conformational changes can be part of mechanisms that regulate the biological activity of these proteins [3]. For this reason, there is great interest for methods suitable for investigating whether TM helices associate, for measuring the strength of their interactions, and for identifying which amino acids are involved at their interaction interfaces.

Quantitative measurements of TM helix oligomerization in vitro can be obtained with a variety of biophysical methods. For example, Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) [4–9] and sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation (SE-AUC) [10,11] are widely used as complementary methods. SE-AUC is directly sensitive to the oligomeric mass of a complex and can provide accurate energetics for association in detergent micelles. FRET has been particularly important for investigating the energetics of TM helix association in lipid bilayers. Disulfide exchange equilibrium [12,13] and, most recently, steric trapping [14,15] can also be applied to determine TM helix equilibria both in detergents and in lipid bilayers. Finally, SDS-PAGE [16,17] is applicable to the subset of TM complexes that are sufficiently stable to remain oligomeric in the harsh detergent SDS, and has also been widely applied to screening TM helix association.

Another common approach for studying TM helix association is to utilize a number of genetic reporter systems, which are applied in vivo in the membrane of Escherichia coli. These systems complement the above biophysical methods in a number of ways. The genetic systems do not suffer from the many of the issues that can arise when working with membrane proteins in vitro, where many steps (sample expression or synthesis, reconstitution, labeling, data acquisition and analysis) can be laborious or technically challenging. The biological methods do not provide a measure of the specific stoichiometry of a complex nor quantitative energetics data, but they enable valuable relative comparison. Therefore, they are useful for assessing whether a TM helix has a tendency to form oligomers in membranes, and they are ideal for the identification of the interaction interface of a complex, which can be explored by exhaustive mutagenesis.
The genetic systems are also suitable for larger scale screening or selection, which are generally unattainable in vitro. A distinctive feature of the genetic reporter systems is that the measurements are performed within biological membranes, as opposed to membrane mimics such as synthetic bilayers or detergent micelles in solution. A FRET (QI-FRET) method exists for the quantitative measurement of association of TM complexes directly within eukaryotic membranes[18,19]. QI-FRET is powerful and sophisticated but requires specialized knowledge and instrumentation. In comparison, the genetic reporter assays represent a less quantitative but more approachable way to assess TM helix oligomerization in the complexity of a living membrane. For these reasons, the various genetic reporter assays have been widely adopted. In their history, they have contributed immensely to our understanding of association in the membrane, as well as to the functional characterization of many important biological complexes.

The first genetic reporter assays were developed following the discovery of the V. cholera transcription factor ToxR[20]. The ToxR system was used by Langosch to develop a reporter assay in which the N-terminal ToxR domain was fused to a TM domain of interest and a C-terminal Maltose Binding Protein (MBP)[21]. As illustrated in Fig. 1a, dimerization induced by the TM domain causes the ToxR transcriptional activator to bind the ctx promoter and this initiates the transcription of the lacZ reporter gene. The TOXCAT assay was later developed by Engelman and coworkers[22]. In TOXCAT, a similar ToxR-TM-MBP fusion protein regulates the expression of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT). The adoption of an antibiotic resistance gene enabled the application of assay to selecting strongly oligomerizing sequences out of randomized libraries[23,24].

Since these initial systems, a large variety of other ToxR based methods have been developed. The Langosch group developed POSSYCAT, which integrated a CAT reporter gene directly in the chromosome of E. coli, thus creating a system suitable for selection[25]. POSSYCAT was further refined exploiting the ability of ToxR to act as activator or repressor when it binds to alternative DNA sequences, allowing for the selection of TM domains that had an intermediate oligomerization propensity[26]. TOXCAT has been adapted to oligomerizing multi-pass membrane proteins[27], and a version of TOXCAT was created in which the CAT reporter gene was replaced by luciferase (ToxLux) for improved detection[28]. Dominant-negative ToxR systems have also been developed, i.e. systems that rely on disruption of a homo-oligomer by a competing helix fused to an inactivated ToxR domain. In these dominant negative systems hetero-oligomerization causes a reduction in reporter gene expression[29,30]. DN-ToxRed, in particular, was the first system that introduced the use of a fluorescent protein (mCherry) as the reporter gene[29].

Transcription regulators other than ToxR have also been used for genetic reporter assays. GALLEX utilizes the LexA transcriptional repressor and the lacZ reporter gene[31]. A major innovation of GALLEX was the use of two LexA DNA binding domains with different DNA sequence specificity, enabling the measurement of hetero-oligomeric association. The recently introduced AraTM assay allows for exploration of the role of both transmembrane and juxtamembrane regions in dimerization of transmembrane proteins[32]. A dominant-negative version of AraTM has also been produced[33]. In addition, unlike the ToxR- and LexA-based assays, AraTM uses the signal sequence of MBP to target the complex to the membrane, thus decoupling membrane trafficking from the specific sequence of the TM domain. This promotes Type I orientation, which is advantageous for the study of a large number of important mammalian receptors in their native cellular orientation[32].

Since its development in 1999, TOXCAT has been used by over thirty distinct research groups, resulting in ~70 publications in which the assay has been applied to a broad variety of membrane proteins systems. In most of these studies, TOXCAT contributed to defining the biological role of TM homo-oligomers, in integration with data obtained by other biophysical or biological experiments. The rich spectrum of subjects that have been studied with TOXCAT is apparent in Table 1, which lists them categorized by their biological functions. In the majority of the cases, TOXCAT has been applied to a plasma membrane single-pass protein of human or mammalian origin. However, the list also includes studies involving plant, yeast, bacterial and viral proteins, as well as proteins of intracellular compartments such as the mitochondrion and the endoplasmic reticulum. The biological functions of the proteins examined with TOXCAT are just as diverse. They include many receptors and proteins involved in cellular adhesion, but also amyloid forming proteins, chaperones, toxins, enzymes, photosynthetic proteins and more. Interestingly, on multiple occasions TOXCAT has been applied to study the self-association of individual helices of polytopic membrane proteins such GPCRs and channels.

In addition to the study of biological systems, TOXCAT has also been often used for motif analysis by the groups of Deber, Engelman, Mingarro, MacKenzie and others, to investigate the determinant of helix-helix association in membranes. These studies have involved a variety of constructs, from designed sequences to randomized libraries, as well as several studies that use models systems such as glycoporin A. Remarkably, such studies led to the discovery of the GxxxG motif as a major driver for TM-helix association[24,34].

The practical nature of TOXCAT makes it suitable for measuring numerous samples, such as for large scale mutagenesis. However, when scaled up to tens of samples the analysis becomes laborious. The quantification of CAT expression, performed either enzymatically[22,35] or via ELISA[36], requires a large number of steps, limiting the number of samples or the number of biological replicas of the same sequence that can be analyzed in a single session. Even the ToxLux variant, which enhances the work-flow by using a luciferase reporter gene, still requires manipulation of each individual sample (cell lysis and addition of reagents)[28].

**Fig. 1.** The TOXGREEN assay. (a) An overview of the ToxR-based assays. TM domain of interest is expressed as a ToxR-TM-MBP fusion protein which is biologically inserted into the inner membrane of E. coli. Upon dimerization of the TM region, ToxR will bind the ctx promoter and activate transcription of a reporter gene (lacZ, CAT, sfGFP). (b) TOXGREEN expression vector. The gene of the TOXCAT fusion is represented in red. The TM domain inserted at NheI and BamHI cut sites is highlighted in blue. The ctx promoter (magenta) and sfGFP reporter gene (green) are also shown.
Here we address these limitations by reporting the conversion of TOXCAT into a high-throughput variant called TOXGREEN. TOXGREEN is based on a Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) reporter gene, which can be rapidly quantified directly in untreated cell culture samples in a fluorescence plate reader. We show with careful side-by-side testing that the responses of the two assays are indistinguishable and that the characteristics of the original TOXCAT, such as sensitivity and response, are maintained in TOXGREEN. With a much more simplified workflow, TOXGREEN saves time and cost for small scale analysis and enables large scale screening of TM helix association. We demonstrate TOXGREEN’s applicability by screening the TM domains of seven bitopic proteins of the bacterial divisome complex for self-association.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subcloning of the TOXGREEN plasmid

The CAT reporter gene was replaced with the gene for sfGFP [37,38] in the pccKAN plasmid, using the Restriction Free Quikchange method [39], to generate the plasmid pccGFPKAN (Fig. 1b).

Genes encoding for the TM domains of interest were digested with Nhel and DpnII and ligated into the compatible Nhel-BamHI restriction sites of the pccKAN and pccGFPKAN plasmids (Fig. 1b) using Quick Ligase (NEB), resulting in the protein sequences reported in the supplementary Table S1 and Table S2. All constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing (QuintaraBio). The plasmids have been deposited on the AddGene repository with the following accession numbers: TOXGREEN empty plasmid pccGFPKAN: # 73649; glycophorin A, G83I mutant, pccGFPG83I: #73650; glycophorin A wild type, pccGFPGph: #73651.

2.2. TOXGREEN assay growth conditions

TOXGREEN constructs were transformed into E. coli MM39 cells. sfGFP expression was quantified in two conditions, log and stationary phase, and two different types of media, LB or M9 minimal media (230 mM Na₂HPO₄, 110 mM KH₂PO₄, 43 mM NaCl, 93 mM NH₄Cl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.4% glucose, 18.8 μM thiamine). For log phase conditions, a freshly streaked colony was inoculated into 3 mL of either LB broth or M9 media, containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin and grown overnight at 37 °C. 30 μL of the overnight culture were inoculated in fresh 3 mL LB Broth containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin and incubated at 37 °C until an optical density of approximately 0.6 at 600 nm was reached. Fluorescent scans were performed on M9 samples directly in the undiluted cultures. To reduce background for samples grown in LB, 1.5 mL of cells were collected by centrifugation at 17,000 g and concentrated three-fold by re-suspending them in 0.5 mL in PBS solution (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4), prior to fluorescence measurements.

For stationary phase conditions, individual colonies were inoculated into 3 mL of LB broth or M9 minimal media containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin and incubated for 16 h at 37 °C. Fluorescent scans were performed on these cells directly in the undiluted LB or M9 cultures. For both log and stationary phase samples, aliquots were removed and stored in SDS-PAGE loading buffer for immunoblotting.

2.3. Fluorescence measurements of sfGFP expression

300 μL of each cell sample was transferred to a 96-well black walled, clear bottom plate (Fisher Scientific). Fluorescence measurements were performed using an Infinite M1000 Pro plate reader (Tecan), using an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and recording emission from 500 to 600 nm. The relative sfGFP expression (TOXGREEN signal) was calculated by normalizing the fluorescence emission at 512 nm to the optical density of the sample at 600 nm. The normalized fluorescence of each sample was then subtracted of the normalized fluorescence of cells that contained the no-TM control plasmid pccGFPG83I to remove non-specific background (Fig. 2b and d).

2.4. TOXCAT assay

The TOXCAT constructs were transformed into MM39 cells. A freshly streaked colony was inoculated into 3 mL of LB broth containing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protein Class</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor tyrosine kinases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor-like protein tyrosine phosphatases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant receptor-like kinases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokine receptors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular adhesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid forming proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunological Transporters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaperones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap junction protein connexin 26 (TM helix 1) [106], voltage-dependent potassium channel BK (TM helix 1) [107], Epithelial Na⁺ channel ENaC subunit α (TM helix 1) [108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematopoietic proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral Proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxin-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designed, randomized or model TM helices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
100 µg/mL ampicillin and grown overnight at 37 °C. 30 µL of overnight cultures were inoculated into 3 mL of LB broth and grown to an OD600 of approximately 0.6 at 37 °C. After recording the optical density, 1.5 mL of cells were harvested by centrifugation at 17,000 g and resuspended in 0.5 mL of lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Cells were lysed by probe sonication at medium power for 15 s over ice. An aliquot was removed from each sample and stored in SDS-PAGE loading buffer for immunoblotting. The lysates were then cleared by centrifugation at 17,000 g and the supernatant was kept on ice for CAT activity assay.

CAT activity was measured as described [40,41]. Briefly, 1 mL of buffer containing 0.1 mM acetyl CoA, 0.4 mg/mL 5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) or Ellman's reagent, and 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.8, were mixed with 40 µL of cleared cell lysates and the absorbance at 412 nm was measured for 2 min to establish basal enzyme activity rate. After addition of 40 µL of 2.5 mM chloramphenicol in 10% ethanol, the absorbance was measured for an additional 2 min to determine CAT activity. The basal CAT activity was subtracted and the value was normalized by the cell density measured as OD600.

2.5. Maltose test and immunoblotting

To confirm proper membrane insertion and orientation of the TOXCAT and TOXGREEN constructs, overnight cultures were plated on M9 minimal medium plates containing 0.4% maltose as the only carbon source and grown at 37 °C for 72 h.
For immunoblotting, the equivalent of 200 μL of culture media at a cell density of 1 OD600 were pelleted and chemically lysed with SoluLyse (Genlantis). 3 μL of cell lysates were mixed with 2 × loading buffer and loaded onto a NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris SDS-PAGE gel (Invitrogen), each construct was run in duplicate. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (VWR) for 1 h at 100 mV. Blots were blocked using 5% bovine serum albumin (US Biologicals) in TBS-Tween buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) overnight at 4 °C, incubated with goat biotinylated anti-Maltose Binding Protein antibodies (Vector labs) at 25 °C for 2 h, followed by peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin anti-goat secondary antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch) at 4 °C for 2 h. Blots were developed with the Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate Kit, 1 mL of ECL solution was added to the blot and incubated for 90 s. Chemiluminescence was measured using an ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthsciences). Immunoblots of samples used for direct comparison (Figs. 5, S3 and S4) were processed and developed in parallel.

3. Results

The fluorescent protein chosen to replace CAT was superfolded GFP (sfGFP), an enhanced version of GFP that has improved folding and maturation kinetics and greater resistance to denaturation. There are precedents for the use of a fluorescent protein in a genetic assay for membrane protein interaction. Berger and coworker chose the eGFP variant as the fluorescent reporter gene of the AraC-based assay [32], whereas the dominant-negative DN-ToxRed assay is based on mCherry [29].

3.1. TOXGREEN response in log phase cultures

Fig. 2a shows the emission spectra recorded for bacterial cultures harvested in log phase condition to a cell density of 0.6 OD600. The samples consist of cells expressing six different ToxR-TM-MBP chimeras and a no-TM control (cells transformed with the pccGFPKAN plasmid). The constructs include the wild-type sequence of the glycoproph A (GpA) and the monomeric G83I variant (GpA*), which are typically included in TOXCAT as positive and negative controls. The other four constructs were selected to cover a range of high, medium and low associating sequences.

We found that direct quantification of the log phase cultures in the LB culturing media was not possible because of the high background produced by the media (supplementary Fig. S1a). Harvesting the cells by centrifugation and resuspending them in the same volume of PBS solution solved the background problem (supplementary Fig. S1b). However, to obtain satisfactory signal-to-noise, we found that it was necessary to concentrate the cells by resuspending them in PBS in one third of the original volume (Fig. 2a).

The fluorescence profiles have an emission maximum around 512 nm. The monomeric GpA* variant displayed an emission at 512 nm that was approximately 43% of the dimeric GpA construct. The basal signal of the no-TM control showed a broad baseline with a reading at 512 nm that was approximately 43% of the dimeric GpA construct. The basal signal of the GpA* mutant corresponded to 16% of the GpA wild-type, which is in line with the range of values normally reported in the literature.

3.2. TOXGREEN response in stationary phase cultures

Stationary phase conditions were also tested in which the cells were grown for 16 h. These cultures have a high cell density (approximately 3.8 OD600), which results in better fluorescence readings and improved signal-to-noise ratio. This can indeed be observed in Fig. 2c, which shows the fluorescence scans of the stationary phase samples. Because of the strong fluorescence signal, these samples can be measured directly in the LB cell culture media (for comparison, measurements for same cells after centrifugation and resuspension in PBS is illustrated in supplementary Fig. S1b).

We compared the response observed in stationary phase to that of log phase, which is the typical growth regime of the original TOXCAT [22]. When the raw fluorescence values are normalized to cell density, the stationary and log phase values become very close (Fig. 2c vs Fig. 2a). When the background fluorescence of the no-TM control is subtracted, the TOXGREEN signals are very similar in both conditions (Fig. 2d vs Fig. 2b). This is further confirmed by the direct comparison in the XY plots of Fig. 2e and f, in which the data is reported as normalized fluorescence and percent of GpA signal, respectively. The results are essentially identical, indicating that TOXGREEN can be carried out in either condition. The advantage of stationary phase conditions is that the cultures are measured directly without the additional centrifugation and resuspension steps.

3.3. TOXGREEN response in minimal media cultures

To further address the autofluorescence background issue experienced in LB media, we tested culturing the cells in a chemically defined media, such as M9 (supplementary Fig. S2). M5 cultures were measured directly in the growth media, both in log phase (Fig. S2a,b) and stationary phase (Fig. S2c,d) conditions. As expected, the switch to M9 media reduces background fluorescence, enabling the measurements to be taken directly in the culture media even at the lower cell density of log phase cultures. The reporter gene expression pattern remained similar to LB cultures. When cells grown to log phase in M9 (measured directly in media) are compared to cells grown to log phase in LB (resuspended in PBS), the correlation coefficient of the linear regression is good (R² = 0.95, Fig. S2e,f). The same comparison between cells grown to stationary phase in either M9 or LB (in both cases, measured directly in the culture media) is excellent (R² = 0.99, Fig. S2g,h). Therefore M9 media is indeed a feasible alternative for performing the TOXGREEN assay.

3.4. Comparison with TOXCAT

To directly compare TOXGREEN to the original assay, we used a library of known TOXCAT constructs that contain predicted helix-helix interfaces from human single-span transmembrane proteins [42]. We choose 18 constructs (listed in Table S1) that covered wide a range of CAT expression levels, from approximately 25% to 175% relative to the CAT expression of the GpA standard.

Fig. 3 shows a direct comparison of the constructs measured with TOXCAT and TOXGREEN in stationary phase. CAT expression was quantified based on its enzymatic activity, whereas sfGFP was quantified using fluorescence. In the figure, both sets are normalized to the expression level observed for the respective GpA standard. Regression analysis shows an excellent linear relationship between TOXCAT and TOXGREEN (R² = 0.910). Neither the value of the slope (1.10 ± 0.08, standard error) nor the value of the intercept (3.7 ± 8.4) are statistically different (p ≫ 0.05) from the expected relationship of equivalent responses (slope = 1, intercept = 0). Therefore this analysis indicates that TOXGREEN produces outcomes that are indistinguishable from the original TOXCAT.

The expression level of the ToxR-TM-MBP chimeric constructs in TOXCAT and TOXGREEN was also compared by immunoblotting. As
shown in supplementary Fig. S3, the expression level of the various chimeras have similar patterns, which is consistent with very similar levels of expression in the two assays. This is expected since the chimeras and their promoter sequence are identical in both assays.

3.5. Analysis of variability and reproducibility

Given the biological nature of the assay, variability can be an issue. Comparison of the variation within sets of biological replicas of the same construct in TOXCAT and TOXGREEN shows that the two assays perform similarly (Fig. 3). Among the 18 samples tested, the average standard deviation expressed relative to the GpA signal was 5.2% and 6.5% for TOXCAT and TOXGREEN, respectively. When the standard deviation was normalized to the signal of each respective sample, the average variation was also similar (8.9% for TOXCAT and 8.6% for TOXGREEN).

The long term reproducibility of TOXGREEN was also tested by repeating the assay on the same set of five constructs over multiple days (eight biological replicas per construct per day, Fig. 4). The results demonstrate that the day-by-day variability of TOXGREEN is generally comparable to the variability observed within a single-day, and that there is also relatively comparable expression of the chimera across multiple days (Supplementary Fig. S4c).

3.6. High-throughput screening of TM helix self-association in bacterial divisome proteins

To test the high-throughput capabilities of TOXGREEN, we performed a large-scale screening for TM helix self-association in membrane proteins of the bacterial divisome. The divisome is the large and still poorly understood multi-protein complex that operates bacterial cell division [43,44]. The divisome of E. coli comprises many essential integral membrane proteins (Fig. 5a), six of which are bitopic (ZipA, FtsQ, FtsB, FtsL, FtsI and FtsN). We have analyzed the propensity of the TM region of these bitopic proteins to self-associate, using the sequences from eleven diverse bacterial species (Fig. 5b). In total, we have analyzed 60 individual TM sequences (supplementary Table S2), each measured with at least 4 independent biological replica, for a total of >240 individual measurements.

Fig. 3. TOXGREEN and TOXCAT are in excellent agreement. Comparison of reporter gene expression between TOXCAT (measured as CAT enzymatic activity in lysates) and TOXGREEN (measured as fluorescence intensity whole cells in stationary phase). The values have been normalized to their respective value of the GpA sample (100%). The linear regression fit is also shown (blue line). The values of the slope and intercept are not statistically significant from the values expected if the two assays had identical response (i.e. slope = 1, intercept = 0).

Fig. 4. Multi-day variability. To test the reproducibility of TOXGREEN over multiple days, the same five constructs were assayed over multiple days. The bars represent the standard deviation of eight independent biological replica per day (i.e. cultures inoculated from different colonies). The per day variability is in line with the variability observed over multiple days. Western blots relative to these experiment are shown in supplementary Fig. S4.
FtsB and FtsL are the only membrane proteins of the divisome whose oligomeric state has been biophysically characterized. FtsB and FtsL form a higher-oligomeric complex (likely a 2:2 hetero-tetramer) that is mediated by the TM domains and juxta-membrane coiled coil domains of the two proteins \[6\]. Their complex is essential for the recruitment of the late components of the divisome \[45,46\]. Using FRET

![Fig. 5. TOXGREEN analysis of bacterial divisome proteins. a) Schematic representation of the essential membrane proteins of the bacterial divisome of E. coli. The six bitopic proteins are highlighted in blue. ZipA contributes to tethering to the membrane the polymeric FtsZ, which forms the scaffold of the divisome. FtsB and FtsL form a hetero-tetramer mediated by their TM helices and the periplasmic coiled coil domains. They are recruited to the divisome by FtsQ, which forms with them a ternary complex. FtsI is a Penicillin Binding Protein important for the synthesis of septal cell wall. FtsN plays an important role in the regulation of cell division. It contains a SPOR domain that recognizes the septal peptidoglycan. b) Evolutionary tree of the 11 bacterial species selected for the analysis. These include the Gram negative alpha- (C. crescentus, R. prowazekii), beta- (N. meningitidis) and gamma-proteobacteria species (Y. pestis, E. coli, H. influenzae, L. pneumophila, V. cholerae), as well as gram positive bacilli (B. subtilis) and cocci (S. pneumoniae, S. pyogenes) species. c–h) TOXGREEN analysis of FtsB, FtsL, FtsQ, ZipA/EzrA, FtsI and FtsN sequences from the 11 species. Not all proteins are present in all the species. In particular, ZipA (f) is present only some classes of proteobacteria; for the Gram positive species we analyzed EzrA, which is an FtsZ modulator topologically similar to ZipA. TOXGREEN chimera expression levels were verified by Western blot using anti-MBP antibodies (bands displayed under the histograms). It is notable how the chimeras expression levels vary among the samples. In general, it is not possible to draw a precise relationship between the physical strength of association and reporter gene expression in TOXCAT/TOXGREEN, even when the chimera’s expression levels are considered. Empirically, 40% GpA can be taken as a reasonable limit under which the confidence in discriminating specific association from background expression is low.
in vitro [6] and TOXCAT [41], we reported previously that the TM domain of FtsB self-associates, albeit weakly. Here (Fig. 5c), we found that among the beta and gamma proteobacteria (N. meningitidis, Y. pestis, E. coli, H. influenzae and V. cholera) FtsB retains a moderate to strong tendency to self-associate (40–90% of GpA). Within this group the only exception is the FtsB-TM sequence of L. pneumophila. Interestingly, L. pneumophila is also the species that displays strong self-association for FtsL (Fig. 5d), which is low in all other sequences. The Gram-positive bacteria S. pneumoniae and S. pyogenes also display significant self-association for FtsB. Overall, the data confirm that FtsB and FtsL retain some propensity to self-associate, although it is unknown whether their homo-oligomerization has a physiological role in vivo.

FtsQ is the protein responsible for recruiting the FtsBL complex to the division site, forming a ternary complex [47,48]. The main interactions between FtsQ and the FtsBL complex are believed to occur in C-terminal region of the periplasmic soluble domain of the proteins [47,49,50]. The TM domain of FtsQ is not essential for its function because it can be swapped with the TM domain of an unrelated protein [51]. The TOXGREEN analysis shows that in the majority of the cases the FtsQ sequences produced near basal GFP expression (around 20% GpA, Fig. 5e). The main exception is the TM domain of the FtsQ of B. subtilis, whose GFP expression level is near 60% of the GpA standard.

ZipA is a protein unique to gamma, and perhaps beta, proteobacteria. It is essential for tethering the tubulin homolog FtsZ to the membrane. Working in concert with the peripheral membrane protein FtsA, ZipA contributes to the formation of the filamentous scaffold that supports the assembly of the divisome (the Z-ring) [52,53]. ZipA is type I bitopic protein with intracellular globular domains, unlike FtsB, FtsL, FtsQ, FtsI and FtsN, which are all type II proteins with periplasmic soluble domains (Fig. 5a). Several of the TOXGREEN ZipA constructs yielded GFP expression levels above 40% of the GpA standard, including 70% expression for the V. chalerae sequence (Fig. 5f). In general, it is not possible to draw a precise relationship between physical strength of association and reporter gene expression (TOXCAT/TOXGREEN response is sensitive to the specific nature and length of sequence used in the construct) but 40% CgA can be empirically taken as a reasonable limit under which the confidence in discriminating specific association from background expression is low. Both FtsZ and FtsA (an actin homolog) are homopolymeric proteins. Therefore the notion that ZipA may self-associate forming dimers or higher-oligomers would not be surprising. This finding highlights the need for further investigation into the self-association ability of the TM region of ZipA.

EzrA is a type I bitopic protein, similar to ZipA, that is found only in Gram positive bacteria. Though it shares structural similarities and possibly homology with ZipA [54], EzrA appears to be a negative regulator of FtsZ assembly [55]. It has been well characterized in B. subtilis, where it inhibits FtsZ polymerization and bundling by reducing FtsZ GTP hydrolysis [55,56]. Our TOXGREEN analysis found only basal level of reporter gene expression for the B. subtilis TM sequence, but rather significant (60–80% GpA) GFP expression levels for the two Streptococcus species (Fig. 5f).

Ftsl is a Penicillin Binding Protein (PBP), which are transpeptidases and transglycosylases involved in the final stages of the synthesis of the cell-wall peptidoglycan during bacterial cell division [57]. Ftsl interacts with its TM domain and works in association with FtsW [58,59], a large multispan lipid flippase that is responsible for the export of peptidoglycan precursor to the periplasm [60]. All species of Ftsl-TM promot-

4. Discussion

We have demonstrated that the replacement of CAT by sfGFP greatly simplifies the operations of TOXCAT and significantly enhances its throughput. We have shown that fluorescence can be measured directly in live cell culture without the need of a lysis step. TOXGREEN can be performed on log phase cell cultures, the same growth conditions of the original TOXCAT. In these conditions, the cells need to be harvested and resuspended in buffer for improved detection. We found that TOXGREEN can be performed on cultures in stationary phase, producing indistinguishable results, as well as in log or stationary phase in M9 media. In these conditions, the workflow of TOXGREEN becomes extremely simple, since fluorescence is measured directly in the original cultures without any further processing.

In comparison, working with TOXCAT is significantly more laborious. CAT can be quantified either enzymatically [22,23] or immunologically (ELISA) [36]. Both method requires lysis of the bacteria and significant processing. For example, enzymatic quantification with the Ellman’s reagent [35], which is the method that our group has adopted [41,67–69], requires approximately 90 min of preparatory work and 4 min per sample at the spectrophotometer, which translates into a day of continuous operator work for measuring forty individual samples. Similarly, ELISA requires several incubations and washes. The protocol is more rapid, but still laborious and time consuming, and requires expensive reagents.

The data show that the change in reporter gene does not alter the nature of the assay, its response, its dynamic range, its sensitivity or the level of its intrinsic variability. TOXGREEN loses a distinctive feature of TOXCAT, the ability to select strongly self-associating sequences in large combinatorial libraries, which exploits the resistance against the antibiotic chloramphenicol conferred by CAT. For this particular feature a user should revert to the original TOXCAT. The selection is, however, a specialized feature that is seldom used, since TOXCAT is almost always applied in the literature to assessing a specific set of constructs.

The new protocol has enabled the rapid screen for self-association a large set of TM domains of bitopic proteins of the bacterial divisome, which identified several cases of TM domains that display an apparent tendency to self-associate. The divisome is a biologically important and still poorly understood multi-protein complex, as well as a potential target for novel antibiotics. Although TOXGREEN measurement are not sufficient on their own to determine whether these domains are oligomeric in their physiological form, our results provide several interesting leads for further biophysical and biological investigation that may reveal further insights on the structural organization of the divisome. TOXGREEN could be readily used to determine the helix-helix interface of these potential oligomers using exhaustive mutagenesis, an approach that we have successfully applied to the E. coli FtsB dimer [41].

Given the ease in testing multiple replica of multiple construct at once, we conclude that the TOXGREEN variant of TOXCAT represents a simpler, less expensive, and high-throughput version of the assay. Plasmids, cells and a detailed protocol are available upon request.
The Transparency document associated with this article can be found, in online version.
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